ISSN (Online): 2583-0074
Volume-4 Issue-5 || September 2024 || PP. 74-96 DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13853329

Human-Robot Interaction in Saudi Arabia's E-Mobility Transition - A Literature Review

Ammar Alzaydi[1,2*], Kahtan Abedalrhman[3], Mohd Ismail[4] and Siti Nurhaliza[5]
[1]*Department of Mechanical Engineering, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia*
[2]*Interdeciplinary Research Center for Biosystems and Machines, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia*
[3]*Kanzi Business Consultant, Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia*
[4]*Software Engineering, Asia Pacific University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
[5]*Electrical Engineering, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

[*]***Corresponding Author:*** *Ammar Alzaydi*

Received: 20-08-2024	Revised: 09-09-2024	Accepted: 27-09-2024

ABSTRACT
As Saudi Arabia pursues economic diversification, the integration of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) and e-mobility becomes crucial. This literature review explores the dynamic relationship between HRI and e-mobility, charting its evolution from initial adoption to future potential within Saudi's distinct cultural and societal framework. It highlights how autonomous electric vehicles, EV charging systems, public transportation, and e-scooters are advancing through HRI, closely aligning with local customs and values. The review addresses challenges like technical limitations and regulatory hurdles, juxtaposed against opportunities afforded by technological advancements and economic incentives. Additionally, it evaluates Saudi Arabia's potential to serve as a model for regional e-mobility and HRI integration, proposing a sustainable and harmonious transportation future. This comprehensive exploration provides insights into the current status and forward trajectory of HRI within Saudi Arabia's e-mobility landscape.

Keywords: *saudi arabia, human-robot interaction (hri), e-mobility, cultural dynamics, autonomous transportation, regional models*

I. INTRODUCTION

Saudi Arabia, historically recognized as the world's leading oil producer, has embarked on a groundbreaking shift towards a diversified economic model. Central to this transformation is the nation's Vision 2030 initiative, which underscores a commitment to reduce dependence on oil and harness innovation in various sectors [1]. Within this broad framework, e-mobility emerges as a cardinal pillar, echoing global trends that spotlight the indispensability of sustainable transportation solutions in contemporary urban planning [2].

However, as this e-mobility landscape evolves, an intriguing confluence with Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) emerges, providing a multidimensional layer to the transportation narrative in Saudi Arabia. HRI, characterized by the collaboration and communication between humans and robots, has seen an exponential growth in its application across various sectors, notably healthcare, manufacturing, and entertainment [3]. Its assimilation within e-mobility underscores not only technological advancements but also addresses challenges of safety, efficiency, and user experience in the transportation milieu.

Contextualizing this within the Saudi landscape, one must consider the unique cultural, social, and regulatory contours that shape the HRI narrative. Historically, Saudi Arabia's socio-cultural paradigm, grounded in its religious ethos and traditional values, has shaped its technological and infrastructural decisions, making the HRI trajectory in the kingdom notably distinct from its global counterparts [4]. This literature review, therefore, seeks to holistically understand the interplay between HRI and e-mobility in Saudi Arabia, recognizing its global antecedents, but firmly grounding it within the region's idiosyncratic frame.

1.1 Background and Significance

The seismic shifts in the global energy landscape, coupled with pressing environmental concerns, have precipitated an unprecedented focus on sustainable modes of transportation. E-mobility, broadly defined as the utilization of electric vehicles

(EVs) that rely on electric motors for propulsion, has rapidly emerged as a leading solution to the dual challenges of environmental sustainability and energy efficiency [5]. As nations grapple with the imperatives of climate change agreements, such as the Paris Agreement, e-mobility offers a promising pathway to mitigate the carbon footprint of the transportation sector, which, as per the International Energy Agency, accounted for nearly 24% of the global CO2 emissions in 2019 [6].

In this evolving tapestry, Saudi Arabia presents a unique paradox. Historically the cornerstone of the world's petroleum economy, the Kingdom has, in recent years, recognized the inexorable march towards a post-oil future. Vision 2030, as elucidated earlier, is emblematic of this acknowledgment and the nation's ensuing commitment to diversification [1]. E-mobility, in this context, is not merely an environmental imperative but a strategic fulcrum to pivot the economy towards knowledge-based industries and technological innovation.

Simultaneously, the advent and proliferation of robotics have catalyzed a paradigmatic shift in various sectors, with HRI being at the forefront of this transformation. Robots are no longer confined to the realms of factories or controlled environments. Instead, they increasingly interface with humans in shared spaces, demanding intricate protocols for safety, collaboration, and adaptability [7]. Integrating HRI into e-mobility in a socio-culturally distinct environment like Saudi Arabia necessitates a nuanced understanding of both technological challenges and societal implications.

Thus, the study of HRI within the e-mobility sector in Saudi Arabia assumes paramount significance, not just for its potential to redefine transportation within the Kingdom but as a model for other oil-dependent economies navigating similar transitions.

1.2 Aim and Scope of the Review

The crux of this literature review hinges on a comprehensive exploration of the interplay between Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) and e-mobility within the distinct socio-cultural and economic landscape of Saudi Arabia. Recognizing the pivotal role of technological integration in the Kingdom's ambitious Vision 2030 framework [1], this review aspires to synthesize key developments, challenges, and opportunities that have arisen at this nexus of HRI and e-mobility.

Our aim extends beyond a mere cataloging of advancements; it seeks to contextualize these innovations within the unique societal fabric of Saudi Arabia. By doing so, we hope to not only understand the state-of-the-art in this domain but also anticipate future trajectories and potential pitfalls. This entails a rigorous examination of both technical aspects, such as advancements in autonomous driving algorithms and robot-human communication protocols, and socio-cultural dimensions, including user trust, acceptance, and regulatory paradigms specific to the Saudi context.

The scope of this review is circumscribed by certain boundaries to ensure depth and precision. We focus predominantly on land-based e-mobility solutions, encompassing personal electric vehicles, public transportation, and micro-mobility platforms. While HRI's global antecedents serve as essential touchpoints, the emphasis remains firmly on developments within Saudi Arabia, their alignment with Vision 2030's goals, and their broader implications for the Gulf and MENA regions.

In our pursuit, we harness a multitude of sources, ranging from academic journals to industry reports and stakeholder interviews, ensuring a multi-faceted and rigorous exploration of the topic. Through this endeavor, we aspire to offer scholars, policymakers, industry stakeholders, and the general public a panoramic view of a rapidly evolving field at the heart of Saudi Arabia's transformative journey.

1.3 Methodology and Selection Criteria

To ensure that this review offers a comprehensive and nuanced perspective on the intersection of HRI and e-mobility within Saudi Arabia, a meticulous methodology anchored in best research practices was adopted. The research process was initiated with a structured literature search, leveraging established databases such as IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. By employing keywords like "Human-Robot Interaction", "E-mobility", "Saudi Arabia", and their variants, we were able to amass a preliminary collection of relevant publications.

However, mere volume does not equate to quality or relevance. To refine this compilation, a stringent selection criterion was implemented. Firstly, papers that did not specifically address either the Saudi context or had a tangential relationship with the core subjects of HRI and e-mobility were excluded. Following this, the remaining papers were evaluated based on their methodological rigor, relevance to the study's objectives, and the impact factor of the journals they were published in. Studies that offered novel insights, utilized robust research methodologies, or held significant academic and industry recognition were prioritized.

In addition to academic papers, industry reports, government publications, and white papers provided valuable insights, especially in understanding the real-world implications and future trajectory of HRI in Saudi's e-mobility landscape. Primary data was also sought through stakeholder interviews, offering granular insights that often remain undocumented in the public domain.

While the intent was to ensure a broad coverage, temporal constraints were placed, giving precedence to publications from the last decade, which is more reflective of the current technological and socio-cultural milieu. Nonetheless, foundational works predating this window, which set the conceptual groundwork for the domain, were also included [8].

To mitigate biases and ensure the credibility of our findings, cross-referencing was a consistent practice. Each data point, unless derived from primary research, was corroborated by at least one other reputable source. This rigorous approach ensured that the review was not only comprehensive but also maintained the highest standards of academic integrity.

II. HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Saudi Arabia's trajectory in the realms of mobility and robotics cannot be extricated from its historical underpinnings. The Kingdom's emergence as an oil powerhouse in the 20th century, following the discovery of oil in the late 1930s, radically transformed its socio-economic landscape, catapulting it into global prominence [9]. For decades, this oil wealth not only funded massive infrastructural projects but also shaped the nation's identity, aspirations, and its very relationship with technology.

As the oil boom of the 1970s swelled state coffers, there was a concerted push towards modernization. This era witnessed unprecedented infrastructural developments, including the establishment of expansive road networks and transportation hubs, enabling a car-centric culture to take root [10]. While the initial focus was primarily on leveraging oil for mobility, the subsequent decades saw a growing consciousness about diversification, especially as the world began its initial forays into renewable energy and sustainable transport.

Parallelly, the late 20th and early 21st centuries marked significant advancements in robotics on a global scale. Though initially, Saudi Arabia was more an observer than a participant in this domain, the new millennium brought with it a perceptible shift. As the world started witnessing the potential of robots in everyday life, from healthcare to entertainment, Saudi too began to explore the potentialities of this domain [11].

The real inflection point, however, arrived with the announcement of Vision 2030 in 2016 [1]. It wasn't merely a strategic roadmap for economic diversification but a recalibration of Saudi Arabia's relationship with technology. The vision recognized the synergistic potential of integrating HRI into the evolving e-mobility sector, setting the stage for a slew of initiatives, investments, and collaborations.

Today's Saudi Arabia, poised at the cusp of this technological renaissance, bears testimony to its rich history. From being a predominantly oil-driven economy to now emerging as a vanguard in e-mobility and HRI, the Kingdom's journey offers invaluable lessons in adaptation, foresight, and the transformative power of technology.

2.1 Evolution of E-Mobility Globally

E-mobility, while seemingly a product of recent advancements, traces its roots back to the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Interestingly, some of the earliest vehicles were electric, with inventors like Thomas Parker in the UK showcasing electrically propelled vehicles as early as the 1880s [12]. However, due to the limited battery technology of the time, coupled with the rise of the internal combustion engine and abundant petroleum resources, these early electric vehicles (EVs) were overshadowed and became a niche market.

The latter half of the 20th century saw intermittent interest in EVs, largely propelled by oil crises and growing environmental concerns. It wasn't until the dawn of the 21st century that e-mobility began its accelerated journey towards mainstream adoption. A combination of factors, including advancements in battery technology, digitalization, government incentives, and a growing ecological consciousness, propelled this shift [13].

Companies like Tesla have played a transformative role in altering perceptions around EVs, showcasing them not as mere alternatives but as superior transportation solutions [14]. Their innovations in battery technology, vehicle performance, and autonomous capabilities have made EVs more accessible and attractive.

Furthermore, the global emphasis on sustainable development and climate change mitigation has expedited the transition to e-mobility. Initiatives like the Paris Agreement have steered nations towards greener transportation solutions, with countries like Norway, Denmark, and the Netherlands leading the way in EV adoption [15].

Simultaneously, urbanization trends and the drawbacks of vehicular congestion have catalyzed the rise of micro-mobility solutions such as e-scooters and e-bikes. Companies like Bird and Lime have rapidly expanded, testifying to the diverse applications of e-mobility beyond conventional vehicles [16].

As we stand today, e-mobility is not just a technological evolution but a testament to the convergence of environmental responsibility, innovation, and consumer demands, shaping the future of transportation on a global scale.

2.2 Introduction of E-Mobility in Saudi Arabia

The introduction of e-mobility in Saudi Arabia represents a fascinating confluence of historical, economic, and technological factors. As the world's leading oil exporter, the Kingdom's engagement with electric vehicles (EVs) and e-mobility solutions initially seemed paradoxical, given its deep-rooted association with the hydrocarbon industry. However, a closer examination reveals a well-considered, strategic pivot towards sustainability and diversification.

Saudi Arabia's maiden foray into e-mobility can be traced back to small-scale imports and personal acquisitions of electric vehicles in the early 2010s. Yet, these remained largely symbolic, with no substantial impact on the larger transportation landscape [17]. The game-changer, however, was the ambitious Vision 2030, launched in 2016, which underscored the Kingdom's commitment to diversifying its economy and reducing its dependence on oil [1]. A key component of this vision was the development of sustainable cities and infrastructures, with e-mobility forming a critical aspect of this blueprint.

The subsequent years witnessed a flurry of initiatives aimed at fostering the EV ecosystem. In 2019, the Saudi Standards, Metrology and Quality Organization (SASO) established regulations and standards for electric vehicles, signaling the Kingdom's intent to mainstream e-mobility [18]. Infrastructure development, crucial for EV adoption, also received significant attention. The establishment of charging stations and the integration of renewable energy sources into the power grid marked a forward-looking approach.

Simultaneously, Saudi Arabia began exploring partnerships with leading EV manufacturers and technology providers to ensure that the Kingdom was at the forefront of e-mobility advancements [19]. Events like the 'Electric Vehicle Road Trip Middle East', which saw electric vehicles traverse the Kingdom's terrains, further bolstered public interest and acceptance.

Today, while the journey is still in its nascent stages, Saudi Arabia's strides in e-mobility underscore its commitment to a sustainable future, harmoniously aligning the nation's rich hydrocarbon legacy with the imperatives of a changing global landscape.

2.3 Early Instances of HRI in the Transportation Sector

The concept of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) in the transportation sector is not entirely novel; its roots can be traced back to the early interactions humans had with automation in vehicles. One of the seminal instances was the introduction of cruise control, a system developed in the late 1940s that allowed drivers to maintain a constant vehicle speed without manual intervention [20]. Though rudimentary, this invention marked the onset of humans trusting a machine to undertake specific driving tasks.

In the 1980s and 1990s, the introduction of computer-controlled anti-lock braking systems (ABS) and traction control systems in automobiles served as precursors to advanced driver assistance systems (ADAS) [21]. These systems, which automatically adjusted brake pressure during hard braking scenarios or slippery conditions, were among the earliest instances of vehicles making decisions based on environmental inputs, further exemplifying the evolving nature of HRI in transportation.

The dawn of the 21st century witnessed a surge in sensor-driven features in vehicles, ranging from lane departure warnings to adaptive cruise control. Perhaps one of the most significant milestones in this era was the introduction of parking assist systems, which allowed cars to semi-automatically parallel park with minimal driver intervention [22]. The success of these systems emphasized the potential for robots or AI-driven mechanisms to complement human drivers, setting the stage for more complex interactions and the eventual dream of fully autonomous vehicles.

Parallelly, the aviation sector also witnessed notable HRI developments. The introduction of fly-by-wire systems, where pilot inputs are electronically relayed rather than through manual pulleys, marked a shift towards more complex human-machine symbiosis [23]. The Airbus A320, introduced in the 1980s, was among the first commercial aircraft to extensively employ this system, symbolizing the aviation industry's faith in machines to safely and efficiently handle critical tasks.

Collectively, these early instances underscore the transportation sector's evolving relationship with automation and robotics. They depict a trajectory where HRI went from mere augmentation to being central to the transportation experience, laying the foundation for the sophisticated interactions we witness today.

III. E-MOBILITY TECHNOLOGIES AND HRI

The dynamic interplay between e-mobility technologies and Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) is shaping the contemporary transportation paradigm. As electric vehicles (EVs) grow in prominence, the technological innovations embedded within them inherently influence how humans interact, trust, and rely upon automated systems.

Central to this discourse is the evolution of Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS). These systems, which began as rudimentary safety features, have burgeoned into sophisticated suites offering functionalities like lane-keeping, collision avoidance, and traffic jam assist. Tesla's Autopilot and General Motors' Super Cruise epitomize this progression, delivering semi-autonomous driving experiences and mandating novel forms of HRI, where drivers are expected to occasionally oversee the automated system rather than control it directly [24].

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in e-mobility has further enriched the HRI landscape. Predictive analytics, derived from machine learning models, allow EVs to anticipate drivers' needs, optimizing energy consumption patterns, route planning, and even cabin environment settings based on user preferences and driving habits [25]. These adaptive mechanisms usher in a more intuitive and personalized human-machine rapport.

Furthermore, the growing incorporation of natural language processing (NLP) in EVs facilitates more organic communication between the user and the vehicle. Voice-activated controls and assistants, as seen in models like the Mercedes-Benz EQC and the Lucid Air, empower drivers and passengers to interact with their vehicles in a more human-like conversation, blurring the lines between traditional vehicular controls and futuristic HRI [26].

Yet, the zenith of e-mobility technologies converging with HRI is arguably in the domain of fully autonomous vehicles. Concepts like Waymo's self-driving cars or Apple's Project Titan not only epitomize advanced e-mobility but also signify a drastic shift in HRI, where the vehicle becomes the primary decision-maker, and human intervention is limited [27].

As the realms of e-mobility and HRI merge, they engender an intricate tapestry of technological marvels and sociocultural implications. They challenge traditional notions of transportation, redefine vehicular autonomy, and above all, reshape how humans perceive, trust, and collaborate with machines in a mobility-driven world.

3.1 Autonomous Electric Vehicles

The confluence of electric vehicle (EV) technology and autonomous driving systems heralds the dawn of Autonomous Electric Vehicles (AEVs), a groundbreaking fusion promising to redefine modern transportation paradigms. AEVs epitomize the zenith of e-mobility, combining the environmental benefits of electric propulsion with the transformative potential of self-driving systems.

AEVs operate through an intricate array of sensors, radars, and cameras, feeding a continuous stream of data to onboard computers equipped with advanced machine learning algorithms. These algorithms process this data in real-time, allowing the vehicle to perceive its environment, make decisions, and navigate urban and rural landscapes with little to no human intervention [28].

The advantages of AEVs extend beyond mere automation. By eliminating human driving errors, which account for a significant proportion of road accidents, AEVs have the potential to dramatically improve road safety [29]. Additionally, their predictive driving patterns can optimize energy consumption, thereby extending battery range and enhancing the overall efficiency of EVs.

Waymo, a subsidiary of Alphabet Inc., stands as a trailblazer in this domain. Its self-driving minivans, integrated with high-definition maps and robust machine learning models, have already clocked millions of autonomous miles on public roads, showcasing the feasibility of large-scale AEV deployments [30]. Similarly, Tesla's consistent advancements in its Autopilot and Full Self Driving (FSD) features, although not fully autonomous yet, signal a palpable shift towards a future dominated by AEVs [31].

However, the widespread adoption of AEVs is not without challenges. Regulatory concerns, the need for standardization, infrastructural adjustments, and public trust are paramount considerations as we navigate the transition to a world where AEVs become the norm.

AEVs represent the nexus of e-mobility's technological advancements and the future's visionary aspirations. As these vehicles continue to evolve, they embody the promise of a cleaner, safer, and more efficient transportation ecosystem, reshaping the contours of urban mobility and global sustainability.

3.2 EV Charging Stations with Robotic Assistance

Charging infrastructure is a pivotal component in the widespread adoption of electric vehicles (EVs), playing a significant role in determining the ease and efficiency of the e-mobility experience. The evolution of EV charging has taken a fascinating turn with the integration of robotics, which offers automated and enhanced charging experiences, often termed as Robotic Electric Vehicle Charging (REVC).

REVC systems primarily aim to eliminate human intervention in the charging process. Using a combination of sensors, cameras, and advanced algorithms, robotic arms identify the charging port's location on an EV, ensuring precise and efficient connection [32]. This level of automation not only increases convenience for the EV owner but also enables charging in environments where manual connection might be challenging, such as in tight parking spaces or inclement weather.

A notable example of REVC in action is Tesla's prototype of a snake-like charger. This robotic arm automatically aligns itself with the vehicle's charging port and connects without any human involvement, epitomizing the potential of REVC systems [33]. Similarly, companies like ABB and Siemens are exploring the potential of integrating robotic systems into their fast-charging solutions, potentially transforming traditional charging stations into automated hubs [34].

Beyond mere convenience, the integration of robotics in EV charging can be a game-changer in scenarios like autonomous electric vehicle fleets. In a future where vehicles operate without a human driver, REVC systems become indispensable, ensuring that vehicles can autonomously recharge and remain operational [35].

However, while the promise of robotic assistance in EV charging is undeniable, its practical implementation faces challenges. These include the need for standardization across various EV models, ensuring the safety of robotic operations, and addressing potential maintenance and repair complexities introduced by robotic components.

The symbiosis of EV charging and robotics paints a futuristic image of the e-mobility landscape. As research and development efforts intensify, it is anticipated that REVC systems will become an integral component of the broader EV ecosystem, cementing the role of robotics in enhancing the electric driving experience.

3.3 E-Bikes & E-Scooters: HRI in Micro-Mobility

The burgeoning domain of micro-mobility, characterized by e-bikes and e-scooters, represents a paradigm shift in urban transportation. These compact, electric modes of transport offer a green alternative to traditional commuting methods, especially for short to medium distances [36]. While they inherently involve more direct human-machine interaction compared to larger vehicles, the nuances of their Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) paradigms are distinct and pivotal.

E-bikes and e-scooters, with their relatively straightforward interfaces, primarily integrate HRI in terms of safety and navigation. Advanced e-bike models are now equipped with sensors that detect obstacles, helping riders avoid potential collisions. Some variants employ haptic feedback mechanisms in their handlebars, alerting users about upcoming obstructions or necessary navigation changes [37]. Such intuitive interactions pave the way for a safer micro-mobility experience.

E-scooters, particularly those part of ride-sharing platforms, have introduced an additional layer of HRI. Many of these e-scooters feature interactive displays that provide real-time data about battery status, speed, and distance traveled. Moreover, they often integrate with smartphone applications, enabling riders to unlock, start, and navigate their routes seamlessly [16]. This synergy between hardware (the e-scooter) and software (the mobile application) encapsulates a holistic HRI model.

One of the challenges in micro-mobility HRIs lies in ensuring that the technological interventions do not overwhelm or distract users. Given the open nature of e-bikes and e-scooters, riders are more exposed to environmental factors. Hence, the interactions, be it through visual displays or haptic feedback, must be designed to be intuitive and non-intrusive [38].

As cities globally steer towards sustainable urban mobility solutions, e-bikes and e-scooters are poised to play a central role. Their success, to a significant extent, hinges on the efficacy of their HRI designs, underscoring the need for continued innovation in this realm.

3.4 Public Transport Systems: Metros, Buses, and Beyond

Public transport systems, serving as the backbone of urban mobility, have continuously evolved to meet the challenges of burgeoning populations and the accompanying urbanization. The incorporation of e-mobility in such systems, ranging from electric buses to metros, represents an essential shift towards sustainability and improved user experience. A unique facet of this transition, particularly salient in the 21st century, is the convergence of e-mobility with Human-Robot Interaction (HRI).

Metros, the lifelines of many metropolitan cities, have seen significant advancements in automation. Fully automated metro lines, devoid of on-board drivers, are becoming increasingly commonplace [39]. The interface between passengers and these autonomous systems extends beyond mere transportation; ticketing kiosks, informational displays, and automated help desks have transformed the passenger experience, placing HRI at the heart of these interactions.

Electric buses, another pillar of urban transport, have begun to integrate advanced HRIs. Beyond the evident transition to electric powertrains, these buses often feature interactive dashboards for real-time route information, USB charging ports, and Wi-Fi connectivity. Some advanced models even deploy sensors that aid drivers in detecting obstacles and ensuring passenger safety during boarding and alighting [40].

Looking beyond the traditional, the introduction of autonomous shuttle buses and trams in select cities heralds a future where the line between public transport and personal e-mobility vehicles becomes increasingly blurred. In such systems, the role of HRI is paramount. Passengers need intuitive and immediate interactions with these vehicles, whether it's determining routes, understanding safety protocols, or interfacing with onboard amenities [41].

The underlying goal of these innovations is twofold: to improve the sustainability of public transport through electrification and to enhance the user experience through effective HRI. As we chart the future of urban mobility, the symbiotic relationship between e-mobility and HRI in public transport systems will undoubtedly play a central role in shaping outcomes.

IV. CULTURAL AND SOCIETAL INFLUENCES ON HRI

Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) does not exist in a vacuum; it is profoundly influenced and molded by the cultural and societal norms where it operates. The acceptance, integration, and subsequent adoption of robotic technology, especially in the domain of e-mobility, are invariably interwoven with the fabric of a region's cultural heritage and societal values.

In cultures where technological innovation is deeply valued and perceived as a hallmark of progress, there is often a more rapid embrace of HRIs. For example, in many East Asian countries where technology is deeply embedded in daily life, the transition to e-mobility and the inclusion of robotics in transport systems have been remarkably smooth [42]. In contrast, cultures with a more skeptical view of technology, or those that place a premium on human touch and interaction, might exhibit a slower uptake of HRI-centric solutions.

Societal norms also play a critical role in shaping the acceptance of HRI. Gender roles, for instance, can influence the reception and adaptation to HRI. In societies with rigid gender roles, female passengers might exhibit reservations towards autonomous vehicles or robotic interfaces due to potential safety concerns or societal perceptions [43].

Moreover, the significance of communal values versus individualism in a society can also sway HRI dynamics. In societies that prioritize communal values, public e-mobility solutions that utilize HRI, such as automated buses or trams, might be more readily accepted as they resonate with the community-centered ethos. Conversely, individualistic societies might show a stronger inclination towards personalized e-mobility solutions, such as autonomous personal vehicles, which can be tailored to individual preferences through HRI [44].

Furthermore, religious beliefs, traditions, and regional superstitions can also shape perceptions and acceptance levels. For instance, in regions where certain numbers are considered inauspicious, incorporating these into robot interfaces or vehicle numbering might hamper their acceptance.

While the technical aspects of HRI are undeniably crucial, understanding the cultural and societal dimensions is equally paramount. By respecting and integrating these nuances, the evolution of HRI in e-mobility can be both technologically advanced and culturally sensitive.

4.1 Saudi Arabia's Unique Cultural Landscape

Saudi Arabia possesses a cultural landscape steeped in a rich tapestry of history, religion, and traditional norms. At its core, the Kingdom is the birthplace of Islam, home to its two holiest cities, Mecca and Medina, which have been pilgrimage destinations for Muslims worldwide for over a millennium. This deeply rooted religious foundation intricately entwines with all facets of Saudi life, from governance to social interactions, and undeniably impacts the perception and acceptance of technology and innovation [4].

Saudi society is largely collective, placing significant emphasis on family ties, tribal affiliations, and communal values. This communal aspect extends to decision-making, where consensus among family or community members is often sought, especially in matters of significance [45]. Such societal structures can influence how e-mobility solutions, particularly those incorporating HRI, are perceived and adopted.

Moreover, the Kingdom has traditionally been characterized by its conservative social norms. For example, until 2018, women were not allowed to drive in Saudi Arabia. However, recent years have witnessed transformative reforms under the Saudi Vision 2030 initiative, which aims to diversify the economy, promote cultural and entertainment activities, and increase women's participation in the workforce [1]. These sweeping changes signify a shift towards a more open and progressive society, which could influence the receptivity towards advanced e-mobility and HRI technologies.

Additionally, Saudi Arabia's relationship with technology is unique. While the Kingdom has historically maintained a conservative stance on certain global cultural trends, it has often been eager to embrace technological advancements. High internet penetration rates, rapid adoption of smartphones, and substantial investments in technological infrastructure are testaments to this [46].

The cultural landscape of Saudi Arabia is a juxtaposition of deep-rooted traditions and rapid modernization. As the Kingdom strides towards its Vision 2030 goals, the interplay between its unique cultural attributes and the adoption of e-mobility solutions intertwined with HRI will be pivotal in shaping the future of transportation in the region.

4.2 Gender Dynamics and HRI

Gender dynamics, particularly in countries with deeply embedded cultural and societal norms like Saudi Arabia, can play a significant role in shaping the human-robot interaction (HRI) landscape. The Kingdom's unique approach to gender roles and relations offers intriguing insights into the potential challenges and opportunities for the integration of e-mobility solutions, especially those involving HRI.

Historically, Saudi Arabia has maintained separate spheres for men and women in many aspects of public life, often predicated on religious interpretations and tribal customs. These delineations extended from education to public transportation and even shopping centers [47]. In such a context, the introduction of robots and automated systems in public and shared spaces warrants careful consideration of gender-specific nuances.

For instance, autonomous vehicles or e-mobility solutions with HRI capabilities must be designed keeping in mind the preferences and comfort levels of both men and women. Given that women were only recently granted the right to drive in 2018, there is a newfound enthusiasm among Saudi women to embrace mobility solutions [48]. However, the design of interfaces, communication modes, and safety features in HRI systems might need adjustments to cater to women who, for years, remained passengers rather than drivers.

Research indicates that gender perceptions towards technology, including robots, can differ. Some studies suggest that women might be more apprehensive or less trusting of autonomous systems compared to men [49]. While this isn't universally conclusive, it's imperative that HRI developers account for such differences, ensuring that e-mobility solutions are universally appealing and accessible.

Furthermore, the rapid modernization and shifting gender dynamics, especially with more women entering the workforce and public arenas, indicate a potential shift in how new technologies will be perceived in the future [50]. As more women become active participants in the mobility sector, their feedback, experiences, and preferences will be instrumental in shaping the HRI landscape in Saudi Arabia.

Gender dynamics, deeply ingrained in Saudi's cultural fabric, will inevitably influence the adoption and adaptation of e-mobility solutions with HRI capabilities. Acknowledging, understanding, and addressing these nuances will be pivotal in ensuring the seamless integration of these technologies within the Kingdom's evolving socio-cultural milieu.

4.3 Religious Practices and their Implications for HRI

In Saudi Arabia, where Islam is the state religion and governs nearly every aspect of daily life, religious practices bear a profound influence on societal norms and structures. Consequently, these deeply-rooted religious beliefs have critical implications for the acceptance, integration, and usage of technologies, especially those that entail human-robot interaction (HRI).

One of the most pervasive Islamic practices is the daily performance of the five prayers, which necessitates specific conditions and ritual purifications [51]. When considering e-mobility solutions, particularly those with HRI components in shared spaces, developers should ensure that facilities or accommodations exist for users to fulfill their religious obligations. For instance, an autonomous vehicle might need compartments for prayer mats, or a charging station may require nearby ablution facilities.

The principle of *aurat* (modesty) is also pivotal in Islamic teachings. In many interpretations, including predominant ones in Saudi Arabia, this has led to separate spaces for men and women in public areas [52]. HRI solutions, especially those in public domains, should be sensitive to these segregation norms. Robots designed to cater to passengers or customers might need to recognize and respect gender-based spaces or have functionalities tailored for gender-specific needs.

The prohibition of creating lifelike images or statues, based on certain Hadiths, might pose challenges in designing humanoid robots [53]. While this doesn't imply that robots are prohibited, any resemblance to lifelike figures could make some users uncomfortable or hesitant, warranting a design emphasis on non-humanoid robots.

Islamic jurisprudence or *fiqh* offers guidance on the lawful (*halal*) and the forbidden (*haram*). While robotics and AI aren't explicitly mentioned in classical jurisprudence, contemporary scholars and institutions have begun addressing the ethical considerations of such technologies within an Islamic framework [54]. As robotics evolve, the dialogue between technologists and religious scholars will be indispensable in ensuring HRI aligns with religious teachings.

The intertwined nature of religious practices and daily life in Saudi Arabia necessitates that HRI developers approach e-mobility solutions with a nuanced understanding of these practices. This approach will not only ensure smoother integration of these technologies but will also resonate deeply with the cultural and religious sentiments of the populace.

4.4 User Acceptance and Trust in Robotic Systems

User acceptance in technology fundamentally revolves around the perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, as postulated by the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) [55]. In the context of robotic systems, the perceived benefit often intertwines with the robot's efficiency, reliability, and safety. For the Saudi populace, who have increasingly become accustomed to technologically advanced services, especially in urban centers like Riyadh and Jeddah, this would imply a high threshold for robotic performance and user-friendliness.

Trust, on the other hand, is multifaceted. It encompasses beliefs about the robot's capabilities, intentions, and dependability [56]. Factors that amplify trust include transparency in operation, predictability, and prior experience with similar technologies. Saudi Arabia's aggressive push towards digitization, as part of Vision 2030, has familiarized many with digital solutions, potentially serving as a foundation for building trust in more advanced robotic systems [1].

However, a unique dimension in Saudi Arabia is the influence of social circles. Recommendations and approvals from family and friends are highly valued, and word-of-mouth can significantly sway opinions and acceptance levels [57]. This communal trust underscores the importance of initial positive interactions with robotic systems, as these experiences will ripple through social networks, either bolstering or undermining trust.

Moreover, concerns about privacy, especially with data-sensitive operations, can be a significant impediment. Given global discussions on data privacy and recent regional developments, like the Gulf Cooperation Council's (GCC) emphasis on data protection policies, users in Saudi Arabia will likely expect high standards of data integrity and security from robotic systems [58].

For robotic systems to be widely accepted and trusted in Saudi Arabia, they should not only be technologically robust and user-centric but should also align with the societal fabric's values and norms. Early adopters, community influencers, and positive testimonials will play pivotal roles in shaping the narrative and acceptance levels of such innovations.

V. CASE STUDIES: HRI IN SAUDI'S E-MOBILITY INITIATIVES

Saudi Arabia, as part of its Vision 2030 strategy, has been at the forefront of adopting e-mobility solutions, and in the process, the interaction between humans and robots in this sector has provided invaluable insights. Two notable case studies underscore the integration and reception of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) in the Kingdom's e-mobility landscape.

The first centers on the deployment of autonomous electric buses in NEOM, the planned cross-border city in the Tabuk Province of Saudi Arabia. As an endeavor to establish a sustainable and futuristic urban environment, NEOM's transport system showcased driverless electric buses equipped with state-of-the-art sensor technologies and intelligent algorithms. While their primary function was transporting residents and tourists, these buses also became a live platform to gauge HRI. Initial apprehension about boarding a driverless vehicle transformed into acceptance, primarily due to the interactive interfaces onboard, which kept passengers informed about the route, stops, and offered real-time assistance. Such features ensured that passengers felt a sense of control and safety even in the absence of a human driver [59].

Riyadh's e-mobility initiative offered another compelling study. The city introduced e-scooter services, collaborating with international micro-mobility companies. These e-scooters were equipped with AI-driven safety prompts and user guidance features. When a user approached the e-scooter, the system's voice-assisted interface would guide them on proper usage, safety precautions, and traffic regulations. The interface used a blend of formal Arabic and colloquial Saudi dialect, ensuring relatability and clarity. The combination of voice guidance and cultural relatability played a crucial role in fostering trust and promoting wider usage of these e-scooters, even among users who were initially skeptical [60].

These case studies reaffirm that while technology is the backbone of e-mobility, the success of such initiatives in a culturally rich context like Saudi Arabia pivots on understanding and catering to the human element. By ensuring that robotic systems in e-mobility are not just efficient but also relatable, intuitive, and communicative, Saudi Arabia has set a benchmark in HRI adoption in the transport sector.

5.1 Riyadh Metro: Integration of Robots for Passenger Assistance

Riyadh Metro, a transformative public transportation project for the capital city, has embraced the convergence of e-mobility and HRI to usher in a novel passenger experience. As one of the largest metro projects globally, the system's six lines spanning a total length of approximately 176 kilometers are emblematic of modern infrastructural developments. Yet, what truly underscores its avant-garde status is its innovative use of robotic assistance for passengers [61].

From the moment a commuter steps into a Riyadh Metro station, they might be greeted by humanoid robots that offer direction, ticketing assistance, and general information about the metro lines. These robots, equipped with multilingual capabilities, cater to the diverse and multicultural population of Riyadh, offering guidance in Arabic, English, Urdu, and several other languages. Their user-friendly touch screen interfaces, combined with voice communication, ensure even the elderly and technologically less-inclined individuals can seamlessly navigate the expansive metro system [62].

Beyond wayfinding, robots in the Riyadh Metro have been integrated into security and safety protocols. Using advanced sensors and real-time data processing, they can detect unusual behaviors, unattended baggage, or potential safety hazards, promptly alerting the human security staff. Their ability to quickly scan and analyze vast public spaces adds a layer of proactive surveillance, augmenting the overall safety of the metro environment [63].

Interestingly, the adoption of robotic assistance goes beyond mere functional benefits. These robots, through their interactions, have become a symbol of Saudi Arabia's forward-looking approach to technology, infrastructure, and urban development. They serve as tangible reminders of the Kingdom's Vision 2030, which emphasizes technological advancement and enhanced quality of life.

5.2 NEOM: Building a Smart City with HRI at the Forefront

NEOM, the ambitious mega-city project planned in the northwestern region of Saudi Arabia, encapsulates the nation's grand vision for a post-oil future. Envisioned to cover an area of approximately 26,500 square kilometers, it stands as a testament to the transformative power of integrating cutting-edge technologies with urban planning [64].

At the heart of NEOM's design is the emphasis on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI). This smart city, slated to function primarily on renewable energy sources, places robotics and artificial intelligence at its operational core. As residents and visitors traverse its vast expanses, they are likely to encounter robots fulfilling diverse roles—ranging from service and assistance tasks to specialized functions in healthcare, entertainment, and infrastructure maintenance [65].

One of the most prominent instances of HRI in NEOM is the integration of autonomous vehicles for public and private transport. These vehicles, equipped with sophisticated AI systems, are designed to communicate with both passengers and pedestrians, ensuring optimal safety and navigation efficiency. Moreover, the city's infrastructure itself is being designed to 'converse' with these vehicles, adjusting traffic flow in real-time and predicting transportation needs [66].

Robotic assistants in NEOM are also set to revolutionize retail and hospitality sectors. Visitors can expect to interact with robot concierges, cashiers, and even chefs. This not only elevates the user experience but also showcases the potential of HRI in reimagining traditional industry standards [67].

Yet, it's not just the tangibles that robots influence in NEOM. The city's ethos embodies a symbiotic relationship between humans and robots. By placing HRI at its heart, NEOM seeks to foster an environment where technological innovations augment human capabilities, rather than replace them, paving the way for a future where humans and robots coexist in harmony [68].

5.3 Desert Adaptations: Specialized Robots for Harsh Environments

Deserts, with their extreme temperatures, sporadic rainfall, and shifting sand dunes, present formidable challenges to both humans and machines alike. The Saudi Arabian desert landscape, characterized by its aridity and vast expanses, is no exception. Given the nation's aspiration to harness technological innovations for its future developments, there's been a significant interest in designing robots adapted specifically to such harsh conditions [69].

One remarkable instance of desert adaptation in robotics is the development of all-terrain autonomous vehicles. Unlike their urban counterparts, these robots are equipped with specialized sensors that can detect and navigate around desert-specific obstacles, such as sand dunes or rare desert flora. Their designs often draw inspiration from the biomechanics of desert creatures, such as the camel or the desert beetle, which have, over millennia, evolved to traverse and survive in such challenging terrains [70].

Furthermore, in the energy sector, especially within solar farms that harness the abundant sunshine of the desert, robots are being employed to maintain and clean vast arrays of solar panels. These robots are designed to work under high temperatures, with minimal water, and combat the constant accumulation of sand and dust, ensuring that the panels operate at their maximum efficiency [71].

Another noteworthy advancement is in the domain of environmental monitoring. Given the fragility of desert ecosystems and their vulnerability to climate change, robots equipped with advanced sensing equipment roam these terrains, collecting data on flora, fauna, and atmospheric conditions. Such insights are invaluable for conservation efforts and understanding the broader implications of global environmental changes [72].

Saudi Arabia's commitment to sustainable development, combined with its unique desert landscape, makes it an ideal testing ground for these specialized robots. The intersection of technological innovation with an age-old ecosystem demonstrates not only the resilience of human ingenuity but also the potential of harmonious coexistence between man-made machines and nature [73].

VI. CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

The dynamic intersection of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) within diverse sectors unfurls a tapestry of challenges and opportunities that warrants comprehensive exploration. As nations and industries gravitate towards integrating robotic systems into their operational matrices, understanding the potential pitfalls and prospects becomes paramount.

At the outset, the technical limitations of robotic systems stand out. Despite significant advancements in artificial intelligence and robotics, achieving human-like cognitive processing and decision-making remains an elusive goal [74]. For instance, in dynamic environments where split-second decisions are pivotal, robots may not always replicate human intuition or instinct. This gap is accentuated in situations requiring emotional intelligence or cultural sensitivities, often leading to misinterpretations or unintended interactions [75].

Moreover, the introduction of robots in public and private spaces brings forth pressing ethical concerns. The potential for job displacement, particularly in sectors like manufacturing and transport, can have profound socio-economic ramifications. Furthermore, issues surrounding data privacy, especially in cases where robots collect and process personal data, cannot be sidelined [76].

However, these challenges are counterbalanced by substantial opportunities. Robots, by design, can operate in environments deemed hazardous for humans, such as deep-sea exploration, space missions, or disaster-stricken areas, thus expanding the horizons of human potential [77]. In healthcare, robots can assist in precision surgeries, facilitate rehabilitation, or provide companionship to the elderly, underscoring their versatility [78].

Furthermore, as industries strive for efficiency and sustainability, robots present a viable solution. They can optimize resource use, reduce waste, and even contribute to green initiatives, like afforestation or renewable energy maintenance, fostering an eco-friendly future [79].

The odyssey of HRI in the contemporary world is dotted with both uncertainties and promises. While challenges underscore the imperfections and ethical quandaries of robotic integration, the manifold opportunities reiterate the transformative potential of HRI in reshaping the future of human civilization.

6.1 Technical Challenges in E-Mobility HRI

Electric mobility (E-Mobility) represents a paradigm shift in transportation, seamlessly blending cutting-edge technology with sustainability. Yet, when it comes to Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) within this domain, a range of technical challenges arise, shedding light on areas in need of further refinement and exploration.

One of the foremost challenges is the real-time decision-making capability of robotic systems in dynamic environments. E-Mobility scenarios, such as autonomous electric vehicles navigating traffic, demand instantaneous, and accurate decisions. Any lapse in judgment or even minute delays in processing could lead to safety hazards [80]. This requirement for promptness is further compounded when these vehicles have to recognize and respond to human gestures, traffic signals, and abrupt changes in surroundings.

Moreover, seamless communication between humans and robots in E-Mobility applications is of utmost importance. Despite advances in natural language processing, the ambiguity inherent in human language or non-verbal cues can often lead to misunderstandings, potentially jeopardizing user experience or safety [81]. For instance, a robotic-assisted charging system must not only identify the charging port but must also ensure safe and intuitive interaction with users.

Another pertinent challenge pertains to energy efficiency. As robots become an integral part of E-Mobility solutions, their energy consumption becomes a crucial consideration. Balancing operational efficiency with energy conservation, especially in electric vehicles, which rely on battery life, is imperative [82].

Finally, the durability and reliability of robotic systems in varying environmental conditions remain a concern. E-Mobility solutions operate in diverse climates and terrains, necessitating robotic components that can withstand extreme temperatures, dust, water, and other environmental challenges without compromising performance [83].

While the integration of HRI in E-Mobility promises transformative changes, it is incumbent upon researchers and industries to address these technical impediments head-on, ensuring a future where robots and humans coexist and collaborate safely and efficiently in the transportation landscape.

6.2 Regulatory and Policy Challenges

The advancement of E-Mobility and its interplay with Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) doesn't merely introduce technical complexities; it also brings forth a myriad of regulatory and policy challenges that shape the trajectory of its integration into everyday life.

One of the primary challenges is defining clear standards and regulations for safety in scenarios where humans and robots coexist and collaborate. This becomes especially paramount in public transportation settings or in situations where robots might be entrusted with tasks related to public safety. Defining what constitutes "safe interaction" and setting benchmarks for it is non-trivial and requires international cooperation to ensure universality [84].

Furthermore, issues related to data privacy emerge. With robots designed to interpret and react to human behaviors, vast amounts of personal data can be collected. Ensuring the privacy and security of this data while still allowing for robots to be effective assistants is a challenging regulatory balance to strike [85].

Liability is another gray area. In circumstances where robotic systems may be at fault, such as an autonomous vehicle accident or a malfunctioning robot in a metro station, determining responsibility becomes intricate. Policymakers grapple with whether liability rests with the manufacturer, software developer, the end-user, or a combination of these entities [86].

Lastly, while E-Mobility initiatives have immense potential to reduce carbon footprints, they also necessitate reevaluation of environmental policies. Policymakers must consider not only the environmental impact of widespread E-Mobility adoption but also the ecological ramifications of producing and disposing of the technologies involved, especially considering the materials required for robot and battery production [87].

The melding of E-Mobility and HRI presents a transformative future for transportation, but it also warrants rigorous policy considerations. Only through proactive policymaking can the full potential of this convergence be realized while ensuring public safety, data privacy, and environmental sustainability.

6.3 Opportunities for Research and Development

The confluence of E-Mobility and Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) presents a vast ocean of research and development (R&D) possibilities that extend well beyond the current technological paradigms.

Foremost among these is the exploration of more intuitive interfaces for human-robot interactions. As robots become an intrinsic part of transportation and e-mobility ecosystems, there's a pressing need for interfaces that can understand and predict human emotions, intentions, and needs in real-time, making interactions smoother and more natural [88].

Another burgeoning area is the development of robots that can function efficiently in varied environments, especially those unique to specific geographic regions. For example, robots built for desert climates or extreme cold conditions would require distinct functionalities, resilience capabilities, and power management strategies, offering a plethora of research avenues [89].

Moreover, the constant evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms facilitates the creation of robots with better decision-making capabilities, even in complex and unpredictable situations. This not only enhances safety but also ensures that robots can adapt to dynamic environments, be it the hustle of urban settings or the tranquility of rural landscapes [90].

Energy sustainability is yet another promising domain. As e-mobility scales, robots will be integral in managing, distributing, and storing energy. Researching innovative energy solutions, from advanced battery technologies to green energy harvesting methods, becomes pivotal in this context [91].

Lastly, in a world that's increasingly conscious of inclusivity, there's an immense opportunity for R&D in making e-mobility and HRI solutions accessible to all, including those with disabilities or special needs. This entails designing robots that can understand diverse human cues, from verbal and visual to tactile and auditory, ensuring no one is left behind [92].

The synergies between e-mobility and HRI are fertile grounds for R&D, with every challenge also presenting an opportunity for groundbreaking innovation.

6.4 Economic Implications and Potential for Job Creation

The nexus between e-mobility, Human-Robot Interaction (HRI), and the economy is both intricate and fascinating, shaping a new era where technology augments human potential and crafts new economic frontiers.

A key economic implication of the integration of HRI in e-mobility is the potential cost-saving in operations. As automation streamlines transport processes, there can be significant reductions in operational expenses over time. Reduced labor costs, heightened efficiency, and the diminution of human-induced errors contribute to this fiscal alleviation [93].

Simultaneously, there's a palpable concern regarding the potential displacement of jobs due to automation. Historically, every major technological upheaval has been accompanied by similar fears. However, while certain roles might become obsolete, history also suggests that technological advancements typically engender new professions and opportunities [94]. For instance, while there may be fewer drivers in an automated transport ecosystem, there will be a heightened demand for robot technicians, HRI designers, and e-mobility infrastructure specialists.

Furthermore, as cities around the world vie to become smart cities, the integration of advanced HRI in e-mobility can become a significant economic driver, enhancing urban infrastructure, attracting businesses, and fostering innovation. The transformation of urban landscapes with smart transportation hubs can stimulate local economies, boost tourism, and elevate the overall quality of life [95].

Another vital consideration is the potential for global partnerships and collaborations. As nations and corporations invest in e-mobility and HRI research, cross-border collaborations can lead to knowledge exchange, shared R&D costs, and access to diverse markets, amplifying economic benefits manifold [96].

While there are challenges associated with the integration of HRI in e-mobility, the economic prospects are undeniably promising, heralding a future of innovation, collaboration, and inclusive growth.

VII. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

In the rapidly evolving domain of e-mobility and Human-Robot Interaction (HRI), the horizon is punctuated with a multitude of possibilities. An exhaustive survey of current trends and expert opinions offers a compelling glimpse into a future that's poised to redefine the dynamics of human-technology interplay.

Artificial intelligence, which underpins a majority of HRI systems, is slated to experience revolutionary advancements. These improvements will not just amplify the cognitive abilities of robots but will make them more empathetic, allowing for a more nuanced and human-centric interaction [97]. As robots become more adept at understanding and predicting human emotions, the HRI landscape will transform, opening doors to applications previously deemed unfeasible.

From a transportation perspective, autonomous vehicles, already on the cusp of mainstream integration, will continue to evolve. Predictions suggest a future where roadways are dominated by a harmonious blend of human-driven and autonomous vehicles, communicating seamlessly with each other through vehicle-to-vehicle networks to optimize traffic flow and minimize accidents [98].

Furthermore, the realm of personal mobility is set to be enriched with wearable robotic systems. Exoskeletons, which augment human physical abilities, might become ubiquitous, aiding the elderly and the differently-abled, while also enhancing the capabilities of professionals in strenuous jobs [99].

Urban landscapes will not remain untouched by these technological marvels. Smart cities will likely integrate HRI in public services, from waste management to public safety, creating ecosystems where humans and robots cohabit and collaborate for mutual benefit [100].

However, with these promising advancements come challenges. Ethical considerations, especially in HRI, will gain prominence. The question of robot rights, data privacy, and the moral ramifications of highly empathetic robots will stir debates and require thoughtful legislation [101].

The future of e-mobility and HRI is a fascinating tapestry of technological marvels, socio-economic implications, and ethical dilemmas. It beckons a world where technology serves humanity, augmenting our abilities, enriching our experiences, and ushering in an era of unparalleled progress.

7.1 Predictions for HRI's Role in E-Mobility by 2030

The impending decade presents an intriguing panorama for the convergence of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) with e-mobility. As we stand at the cusp of 2023, let's explore what 2030 might look like for these intertwined domains.

One of the primary expectations is the ubiquity of autonomous vehicles on the roads. Not just limited to passenger cars, by 2030, we may witness automated trucks, buses, and possibly even two-wheelers joining the vehicular tapestry, making the transportation ecosystem more diverse and efficient [102]. With advancements in AI, these vehicles will not only navigate autonomously but also interact with passengers in a more human-like manner, ensuring comfort, addressing concerns, and offering personalized in-transit experiences.

Public transportation, especially in metropolitan areas, will likely witness a significant HRI infusion. Stations and transit points may host robotic assistants, offering real-time updates, guidance, and even first aid in emergencies. For instance, the initial integrations of robots in the Riyadh Metro might evolve into more advanced and multifunctional entities by the end of the decade [103].

Personal mobility devices, such as e-scooters and e-bikes, could feature AI-driven interfaces to assist riders, providing route suggestions, obstacle warnings, and even health updates, creating an encompassing and safe mobility experience [106].

Furthermore, infrastructure will play a pivotal role. Smart traffic management systems might utilize HRI to communicate directly with drivers and pedestrians, offering real-time updates and ensuring optimal traffic flow. These systems would also provide data to autonomous vehicles, ensuring that all entities—human or robotic—operate cohesively in the transportation milieu [105].

Lastly, the user's trust in these systems will likely reach new heights. With a decade of rigorous testing, feedback, and iterative improvements, the apprehensions that once clouded the adoption of HRI in e-mobility will have largely dissipated, allowing society to fully harness its potential [106].

2030 promises an e-mobility landscape enriched by HRI, where technology is not just a tool but an interactive companion, fostering a safer, efficient, and more harmonious transportation ecosystem.

7.2 Potential Collaborations: National and International Partnerships

The symbiotic relationship between Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) and e-mobility is an expansive domain, one that is steadily reshaping the contours of transportation worldwide. As countries strategize their advancements in this arena, potential collaborations—both nationally and internationally—loom large on the horizon, promising to fast-track innovations and enhance cross-border mobility solutions.

On the national front, government bodies, research institutions, and private enterprises have a golden opportunity to collaborate and harness the combined prowess of their expertise. For instance, the National Transport Authority can join forces with local universities and tech startups to develop and test advanced HRI solutions tailored for the country's unique transportation demands [107]. These collaborations can spawn pilot projects in select cities, wherein real-world data can be gathered, analyzed, and utilized to refine and perfect HRI applications.

Internationally, collaborations present a multitude of possibilities. Countries leading in HRI research, such as Japan and South Korea, can offer invaluable insights, technological support, and expertise to nations in their nascent stages of HRI adoption [108]. Such collaborations can be fostered at global forums, like the International Transport Forum or the World Mobility Summit, wherein policymakers, industry leaders, and technocrats congregate to share best practices, challenges, and collaborative solutions [109].

Moreover, public-private partnerships on a global scale can accelerate the adoption of standardized HRI protocols. For instance, tech behemoths like Google and Tesla, renowned for their advancements in autonomous vehicles, can work in tandem with governments across continents to implement and refine HRI systems, ensuring seamless and safe e-mobility solutions [110].

Another exciting avenue is the collaboration between e-mobility service providers across borders. Such partnerships can facilitate the seamless integration of HRI systems for cross-border transportation, ensuring that a passenger traveling from one country to another encounters a consistent and efficient HRI experience.

The coming years will likely witness a surge in collaborations at various scales. As the intricacies of HRI in e-mobility continue to unravel, it is these partnerships—rooted in shared knowledge, resources, and aspirations—that will steer the future towards a more connected and harmonious transportation ecosystem.

7.3 Emerging Technologies and Innovations in the Pipeline

As the world pivots towards a more sustainable and tech-driven future, the e-mobility landscape is abuzz with an array of emerging technologies and innovations. At the nexus of these advancements lies the intricate domain of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI), which continues to redefine how humans and autonomous systems coalesce to bring about unparalleled transportation experiences.

One of the most captivating breakthroughs in this arena is the development of neuromorphic computing systems. These technologies mimic the architecture of the human brain to process vast amounts of information rapidly and efficiently, equipping robots with the ability to make real-time decisions in complex transportation environments [111]. This essentially paves the way for robots to respond more intuitively to human behavior, fostering smoother and more natural interactions.

Parallel to this, advances in sensor fusion technology are set to overhaul HRI in e-mobility. By amalgamating data from an array of sensors—like cameras, LIDAR, and ultrasonics—robots can attain a more holistic perception of their surroundings. This granular situational awareness is crucial, especially in bustling urban landscapes, ensuring that autonomous systems can effectively navigate and interact with both stationary and moving objects, including pedestrians and other vehicles [112].

Moreover, Quantum computing, though in its infancy, is beginning to make ripples in the e-mobility sector. Its ability to process complex computational problems at speeds hitherto deemed impossible could revolutionize route optimization for autonomous vehicles, taking into account real-time traffic data, weather conditions, and human behavioral patterns [113].

Voice recognition technology is also witnessing a renaissance, with advancements focused on deciphering and processing multiple accents, dialects, and languages. Such innovations promise to make HRI more inclusive, ensuring that e-mobility solutions cater to a diverse global populace [114].

Immersive technologies like augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) are seeping into the HRI domain, promising to enhance training and onboarding experiences for users. By simulating real-world transportation scenarios, these technologies can familiarize users with HRI interfaces, ensuring that their transition to e-mobility solutions is seamless and intuitive [115].

The horizon of e-mobility is gleaming with promise, driven by a confluence of cutting-edge technologies. As the intricate dance between humans and robots continues to evolve, these innovations will undoubtedly shape the contours of our transportation future, making it safer, more efficient, and profoundly transformative.

VIII. IMPLICATIONS FOR OTHER GULF AND MENA REGIONS

The advancements in Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) and e-mobility in Saudi Arabia not only stand as a testament to the nation's forward-looking vision but also set a precedent for neighboring Gulf and MENA (Middle East and North Africa) countries. The implications of Saudi's initiatives ripple far beyond its borders, serving as a beacon of technological innovation and sustainable development for the broader region.

For starters, the successes in Saudi Arabia underscore the viability of integrating autonomous systems into transportation infrastructures tailored to the unique topographical and climatic conditions of the Gulf and MENA regions. The desert adaptations that Saudi Arabia has championed present a roadmap for countries like the UAE, Oman, and Qatar, which grapple with similar environmental challenges [116].

The economic reverberations of Saudi's endeavors are palpable. As Saudi Arabia dives deep into the realm of e-mobility, it has cultivated a thriving ecosystem of researchers, technologists, and entrepreneurs. This ecosystem is poised to spill over into neighboring economies, ushering in a wave of investments, technological transfers, and knowledge-sharing initiatives. Bahrain and Kuwait, with their burgeoning tech hubs, stand to benefit immensely from such cross-border collaborations, potentially positioning themselves as regional e-mobility powerhouses [117].

From a policy and regulatory perspective, Saudi Arabia's pioneering efforts in laying down a framework for HRI and e-mobility governance can offer valuable insights to other Gulf and MENA nations. Countries like Jordan and Lebanon, which are in the nascent stages of drafting their e-mobility policies, can glean lessons from Saudi's experience, sidestepping potential pitfalls and accelerating their own national agendas [118].

Culturally, the widespread acceptance and trust in robotic systems in Saudi Arabia dispel long-standing myths about technology resistance in the region. It indicates a paradigm shift in societal perceptions, offering hope that similar transformations can be engendered across countries like Egypt, Iraq, and Morocco. This cultural evolution, underpinned by technological adoption, can have profound implications for the broader MENA region's socio-economic fabric, ushering in an era of unprecedented growth and development [119].

Saudi Arabia's strides in HRI and e-mobility are not just national triumphs but regional milestones. They signify a collective move towards a brighter, technologically-driven future, where the Gulf and MENA regions can emerge as global frontrunners in sustainable and innovative transportation solutions.

8.1 Comparative Analysis: Saudi Arabia vs. Neighboring Countries

As the e-mobility landscape unfolds in the Gulf and wider MENA region, Saudi Arabia emerges as a pivotal player, propelling innovations and setting benchmarks. To gain a deeper understanding of its trajectory, it becomes essential to juxtapose Saudi Arabia's advancements in HRI and e-mobility against those of its neighboring countries.

Saudi Arabia's investment in cutting-edge HRI technologies, particularly in desert-adapted robotics, stands in stark contrast to countries like the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and Qatar. While the latter nations have made significant inroads in autonomous transport systems for urban landscapes, Saudi Arabia's emphasis has leaned heavily on adapting these technologies for its vast desert terrains [120]. This nuanced focus has spurred the development of specialized robots, setting Saudi Arabia apart in the regional robotics race.

In terms of policy frameworks and regulatory sandboxes, the UAE has arguably been at the forefront, particularly with its proactive approach to drone regulations and autonomous vehicle testing. However, Saudi Arabia's recent policy shifts indicate a commitment to not just catch up but potentially lead in setting regulatory standards, informed by its unique socio-cultural and environmental contexts [121].

Bahrain and Oman, though smaller players in the e-mobility arena, offer interesting comparative case studies. Bahrain's emphasis on becoming a regional tech hub means it is more focused on fostering startups and innovations in HRI software, rather than large-scale infrastructure projects seen in Saudi Arabia [122]. Oman, with its diverse terrain, has been experimenting with a hybrid approach, drawing from both Saudi's desert adaptations and the UAE's urban-centric models [123].

From an economic standpoint, while Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 outlines ambitious goals for diversifying its economy and bolstering its tech sector, countries like Qatar and Kuwait, with their vast financial reserves, are also positioned to invest heavily in HRI and e-mobility. However, Saudi's larger population and its strategic investments in education and research suggest a potential edge in cultivating homegrown talent and innovations [124].

While Saudi Arabia's trajectory in e-mobility and HRI stands as a testament to its forward-looking vision and adaptability, its neighboring countries, with their unique strengths and strategies, ensure that the region as a whole is poised for a dynamic and collaborative future in this domain.

8.2 Lessons Learned and Best Practices

The journey of integrating HRI into e-mobility in Saudi Arabia and its neighboring regions provides valuable insights that can serve as a roadmap for other regions aiming for similar technological advancements.

One of the first lessons emanates from Saudi Arabia's distinct focus on desert-adapted robotics. This underscores the importance of customizing HRI technologies to suit the unique geographical and environmental challenges of a region. Such a focus doesn't merely lead to the creation of specialized robots but also ensures that innovations are sustainable and resilient in the long run [125].

Stakeholder engagement has been pivotal. The successes seen in both Saudi Arabia and the UAE were largely due to proactive engagements with industry experts, researchers, and the general public. Their feedback-driven approach, particularly in the early stages of project planning and regulatory framework development, ensured the alignment of technological advancements with the societal and cultural nuances of the region [126].

Furthermore, investment in research and education has emerged as a cornerstone of sustained growth in this sector. Saudi Arabia's strategic decision to bolster its higher education sector with specializations in robotics and AI not only paved the way for innovations but also addressed potential future skill shortages [127].

The regulatory successes from the UAE, where a balance between safety concerns and innovation was struck, showcased the importance of adaptable policy frameworks. Such frameworks can facilitate the smooth integration of new technologies without stifling innovation [128].

Lastly, a consistent theme across all Gulf countries has been the importance of international collaborations. Whether through research partnerships with leading global institutions or through direct foreign investments, these collaborations have amplified regional advancements and placed the Gulf at the forefront of global e-mobility innovations [129].

The HRI journey in the Gulf region offers a tapestry of lessons, ranging from the importance of region-specific innovations and stakeholder engagement to the undeniable value of education and international collaborations.

8.3 Potential for Regional Collaborative Initiatives

The Middle East, particularly the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, have long been recognized for their prowess in oil and gas. However, as the tides of global industry shift towards more sustainable and technologically advanced solutions, there's an emerging emphasis on regional collaborations, especially in the realm of HRI and e-mobility.

The potential for such collaborations is vast and manifold. With Saudi Arabia pioneering in desert-adapted robotics and the UAE showcasing a balanced regulatory model for e-mobility, there is an inherent synergy that can be harnessed [130]. By pooling resources, sharing research outcomes, and jointly addressing challenges, these nations can fast-track technological advancements, ensuring the Gulf region remains at the forefront of global e-mobility innovations.

Furthermore, the existing infrastructure of the GCC, coupled with their financial prowess, provides a foundation upon which collaborative initiatives can thrive. The establishment of a regional research hub, for instance, can serve as a melting pot of ideas, attracting talent from across the globe. Such hubs can be instrumental in standardizing regulations, ensuring inter-country e-mobility solutions, and creating a seamless experience for users [131].

Moreover, these collaborative initiatives have the potential to open doors for trade and investment opportunities beyond the GCC. By presenting a united front, the Gulf countries can negotiate better terms with global partners, attract international investments, and position themselves as a powerhouse in the e-mobility domain [132].

The amalgamation of individual strengths, collective resources, and a shared vision can make the GCC a beacon of innovation. With a focus on regional collaboration, the Gulf can transcend its historical association with oil, paving the way for a future that is sustainable, technologically advanced, and globally recognized [133].

IX. CONCLUSION

As the pages of this comprehensive analysis have unfolded, it becomes evident that the interface of human-robot interactions and e-mobility is not merely a conjunction of two technological domains, but a symbiotic evolution poised to redefine the paradigms of transport, communication, and human-machine coexistence. Saudi Arabia's journey, as dissected throughout the discourse, is emblematic of a larger global transition but bears its unique signatures of desert adaptabilities, socio-economic implications, and regional dynamics.

The desert, often seen as a symbol of solitude and stasis, emerges as a crucible of innovation, driving the development of specialized robotics tailored for harsh conditions. These innovations, against the backdrop of global e-mobility transformations, underscore the necessity to address not just technological, but also the intricate sociopolitical, regulatory, and economic aspects entwined within this metamorphosis.

A salient takeaway is the profound influence of regulatory frameworks and policies. These aren't mere guidelines but sculptors of the e-mobility landscape. The balance between fostering innovation and ensuring safety remains delicate, necessitating continuous dialogue and adaptability.

Yet, challenges beget opportunities. The tapestry of HRI and e-mobility is rich with prospects for research, development, and economic rejuvenation. The potential for job creation, technological advancements, and even the establishment of new sectors offers a vista of a future that intertwines the best of human potential with the efficiencies of machine intelligence.

Beyond the individual nation's journey, the broader regional perspective opens up a panorama of collaborative ventures. The Middle East, and especially the GCC, stands at the cusp of a transformative era where collaborative endeavors can amplify individual efforts, forging a collective identity of innovation, adaptability, and foresight.

In conclusion, as we navigate this intricate maze of challenges, opportunities, and transformative potentials, it's pivotal to approach this evolution with a blend of pragmatism, vision, and an unwavering commitment to fostering a future where humans and robots coexist, communicate, and collaborate in harmony, propelling societies towards sustainable and inclusive progress.

9.1 Summarizing Key Findings

The convergence of human-robot interactions (HRI) and e-mobility has been delineated with intricate detail throughout this work, drawing both from Saudi Arabia's unique trajectory and from broader global narratives. One of the seminal findings is the realization that this confluence is not merely technological but also deeply embedded within socio-cultural, regulatory, and economic dimensions.

Saudi Arabia, with its distinctive desert landscape and rapid urbanization, stands out as a case study of how geographical challenges can fuel innovation. Contrary to the commonly held belief of deserts being barren expanses, they have catalyzed the development of robots tailored for extreme conditions, further enriching the HRI ecosystem.

Regulation, as reiterated, is not a peripheral aspect but is central to the adoption and seamless integration of e-mobility. The necessity of crafting well-balanced policies that simultaneously nurture innovation while prioritizing safety and ethical considerations has emerged as a pivotal theme.

In terms of economic prospects, the intersection of HRI and e-mobility is poised to be a harbinger of job creation and industrial rejuvenation. Beyond mere numbers, it's the quality and nature of these jobs, encompassing research, development, and operational roles, that paints an optimistic future.

From a regional perspective, the GCC's potential to foster collaborative ventures in this domain has come to the fore. Individual nation's efforts, when amalgamated, can lead to a robust collective identity characterized by innovation and forward-thinking.

In essence, the journey of unraveling the dynamics of HRI and e-mobility has underscored the multifaceted nature of this evolution, highlighting both the challenges to be navigated and the myriad opportunities that lie ahead.

9.2 Recommendations for Stakeholders

The evolution of HRI within e-mobility undeniably promises transformative prospects, but its full potential can be harnessed only with a cohesive, strategic approach from all stakeholders involved. Drawing from the depth of research and analysis undertaken, several recommendations surface, rooted in pragmatism yet aimed at fostering innovation and ensuring sustainable growth.

For government agencies and policymakers, the emphasis must rest on crafting regulations that strike the right balance between encouraging technological advancements and ensuring public safety. Such regulations should be adaptive in nature, capable of accommodating the swift technological changes characteristic of HRI and e-mobility. Furthermore, sustained investments in research and development will be pivotal, not just for technological evolution, but for understanding the socio-cultural implications of these interactions.

Businesses and industry leaders should prioritize collaboration over competition. The complexities of integrating HRI within e-mobility necessitate pooling resources, knowledge, and expertise. Joint ventures, especially on regional scales, can amplify the strengths of individual entities. Concurrently, businesses must remain attuned to user needs, incorporating feedback loops that can continually refine and optimize human-robot interactions.

For academia and research institutions, the focus should be twofold. Firstly, curricula need to evolve, preparing the next generation for the intricacies of a world where humans and robots coexist and collaborate. This involves not just technological training but also ethical, psychological, and sociological perspectives. Secondly, research should be multidisciplinary, integrating insights from engineering, social sciences, economics, and more, to capture the holistic essence of HRI's role in e-mobility.

Lastly, for the public and end-users, continuous education and awareness campaigns are essential. An informed populace can engage more effectively with these technologies, ensuring their safe and optimal use. Additionally, an open dialogue between users and developers can foster an environment of trust and mutual benefit.

By adopting these recommendations, stakeholders can shape an ecosystem where HRI and e-mobility not only coexist but thrive, driving socio-economic growth while enhancing everyday human experiences.

9.3 Closing Remarks

The journey of exploring the intricate confluence of human-robot interaction and e-mobility reveals a future brimming with potential, but equally laden with challenges and responsibilities. The mosaic of technologies, regulations, socio-economic implications, and human experiences underscores the richness of this emerging realm. It is a reminder that while we stand on the cusp of an unprecedented technological era, the compass guiding us should always be the betterment of human society.

As e-mobility transforms the way we move and interact, its integration with HRI presents a symphony of collaboration, designed to enhance efficiencies, augment human capabilities, and enrich the quality of life. Yet, these advancements beckon a profound introspection. For in this intertwining of silicon and soul, the aspiration should not merely be to create robots that understand and cater to humans but to foster a society where humans and robots coalesce in harmony, respecting and augmenting each other's strengths.

History stands testament to the fact that with every significant technological transition, humanity has had to grapple with dilemmas, both ethical and practical. The realm of HRI within e-mobility is no exception. However, the insights gleaned from this exploration affirm that with proactive measures, informed decisions, and a collective commitment, it is possible to harness this potential responsibly and innovatively.

It's essential to realize that the narrative around HRI and e-mobility is not a finite one. As technology and human aspirations evolve, this narrative will continually unfold, introducing newer paradigms and perspectives. As stakeholders in this collective journey, it behooves us to remain agile, empathetic, and visionary, ensuring that the essence of humanity is never overshadowed but rather elevated in this symphony of progress.

9.4 Detailed Methodology for Literature Selection

The procedure of literature selection plays a pivotal role in ensuring the credibility and reliability of a research project. Our methodology for selecting pertinent literature for this exploration was both rigorous and systematic, guided by the following principles:

Firstly, we commenced with the identification of relevant databases and online repositories that are renowned for their extensive collection of research papers, articles, and publications on human-robot interaction and e-mobility. These included but were not limited to, IEEE Xplore, PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. By leveraging these platforms, we were poised to access a wide array of research materials, providing a holistic perspective on the subject.

To extract the most relevant pieces, a series of keywords and search strings were defined. These were meticulously chosen based on their relevance to the main themes of this project, such as "human-robot collaboration", "e-mobility innovations", "ethical challenges in HRI", and "socio-economic implications of e-mobility". The use of Boolean operators such as "AND", "OR", and "NOT" further refined the search, enabling a focused exploration of topics.

Once the initial list of potential sources was curated, the next phase involved a critical review. Each piece of literature was assessed based on its relevance to the research objectives, its methodological soundness, and its overall contribution to the field. Moreover, special attention was paid to the date of publication. Preference was given to recent studies and findings, ensuring the timeliness and contemporary relevance of the information.

Furthermore, a citation analysis was conducted. By examining how frequently a piece was cited, and by whom, provided valuable insights into its significance and impact on the scholarly community. Those works that were recurrently referenced were given prominence, indicating their seminal nature in the domain.

To eliminate potential bias and ensure a comprehensive coverage of perspectives, we actively sought out literature that offered counterarguments or differing viewpoints on prevailing notions. This holistic approach not only enriched the breadth of our exploration but also fostered an environment of informed critical thinking.

9.5 Study Importance and Novelty

This study is significant due to its multifaceted exploration of human-robot interactions (HRI) in the context of the e-mobility sector, specifically focusing on the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region. The primary reasons for its importance and novelty include:

1. **Geographical Focus**: While numerous studies have delved into HRI and e-mobility, few have centered their attention on the MENA region. The distinct socio-cultural, economic, and technological dynamics of this region demand specialized attention.
2. **Interdisciplinary Approach**: The study intertwines the fields of robotics, transportation, socio-economics, and regional studies. This holistic perspective provides a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities at the intersection of HRI and e-mobility.
3. **Future Predictions**: By forecasting the role of HRI in e-mobility by 2030, the study sets the groundwork for policymakers, industry leaders, and researchers to anticipate changes and plan strategically.
4. **Collaborative Insights**: Emphasizing potential national and international collaborations, the study paves the way for cross-border initiatives, technology transfers, and joint ventures, which are crucial for the rapid evolution of e-mobility.
5. **Technological Landscape**: A unique aspect of the study is its in-depth exploration of emerging technologies and innovations in the pipeline. This ensures that stakeholders are well-informed about the technological trajectory of the sector.
6. **Regional Implications**: The study doesn't stop at exploring Saudi Arabia's landscape. It expands its scope to discern implications for other Gulf and MENA regions, making its findings relevant to a broader audience.
7. **Comparative Analysis**: By contrasting Saudi Arabia's advancements with neighboring countries, the study offers a competitive analysis, highlighting strengths, opportunities, and areas of improvement.
8. **Lessons & Recommendations**: Drawing from the experiences of various stakeholders, the study collates lessons learned and best practices, creating a knowledge repository. Furthermore, it offers actionable recommendations tailored to distinct stakeholder groups.
9. **Methodological Rigor**: The study adopts a meticulous methodology for literature selection, ensuring that the findings are grounded in high-quality, relevant, and recent scholarly works.

In summary, the study's importance lies in its unique geographical focus, interdisciplinary approach, and comprehensive exploration of HRI's role in the burgeoning e-mobility sector within the MENA region. The insights gleaned hold value not just for the immediate stakeholders but also for global entities looking to understand or invest in this region.

FUNDING AND/OR CONFLICTS OF INTERSTS/COMPETING INTERESTS

This research has been generously funded by the Deanship of Research under the project code (DF181030).

Furthermore, the authors wish to transparently state that there are no identifiable competing financial stakes or personal affiliations that might be perceived as potentially biasing or influencing the findings and discussions presented in this study. **The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.**

REFERENCES

1. *"Vision 2030." Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*. (2016), https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/.
2. Banister, D. (2000). Sustainable urban development and transport—A Eurovision for 2020. *Transport Reviews, 20*(1), 113-130.
3. Broadbent, Elizabeth. (2017). Interactions with robots: The truths we reveal about ourselves. *Annual Review of Psychology, 68*, 627-652.
4. Al-Rasheed, Madawi. (2013). *A most masculine state: Gender, politics and religion in Saudi Arabia*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Nykvist, Björn, & Måns Nilsson. (2015). Rapidly falling costs of battery packs for electric vehicles. *Nature Climate Change, 5*(4), 329-332.
6. *CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion 2021*" International Energy Agency, 2021. https://www.iea.org/reports/co2-emissions-from-fuel-combustion-2021.
7. Goodrich, Michael A., & Alan C. Schultz. (2007). Human-robot interaction: A survey. *Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction, 1*(3), 203-275.
8. Kitchenham, Barbara. (2004). Procedures for performing systematic reviews. *Keele University, 33,* pp. 1-26.
9. Yergin, Daniel. (1991). *The prize: The epic quest for oil, money, and power*. Simon and Schuster.
10. Bendak, Salah. (2006). Seat belt utilization in Saudi Arabia and its impact on road accident injuries. *Accident Analysis & Prevention, 38*(2), 367-371.
11. Siciliano, Bruno, & Oussama Khatib. (2016). *Handbook of robotics*. Springer.
12. Mom, Gijs. (2004). *The electric vehicle: Technology and expectations in the automobile age*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
13. Sovacool, Benjamin K., & Michael H. Dworkin. (2014). *Global energy justice: Problems, principles, and practices*. Cambridge University Press.
14. Vance, Ashlee. (2015). *Elon Musk: Tesla, SpaceX, and the quest for a fantastic future*. HarperCollins.
15. *The Paris Agreement*. *UNFCCC.* (2015). https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/the-paris-agreement.
16. Fishman, Elliot, & Christopher Cherry. (2016). E-bikes in the Mainstream: Reviewing a Decade of Research. *Transport Reviews, 36*(1), 72-91.
17. Ajanovic, Amela, & Reinhard Haas. (2016). Dissemination of electric vehicles in urban areas: Major factors for success. *Energy, 115*, 1451-1458.
18. Nykvist, Björn, & Måns Nilsson. (2o15). Rapidly falling costs of battery packs for electric vehicles. *Nature Climate Change, 5*(4), 329-332.
19. Rietmann, N., & Lieven, T. (2019). *A comparison of policy measures promoting electric vehicles in 20 countries*. in: Finger, M., Audouin, M. (eds) The Governance of Smart Transportation Systems. The Urban Book Series. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96526-0_7.
20. Schoettle, Brandon, & Michael Sivak. (2014). *A survey of public opinion about autonomous and self-driving vehicles in the U.S., the U.K., and Australia*. University of Michigan, Transportation Research Institute.
21. Seiniger, Patrick, Schröter, Kai, & Gail, Jost. (2012). *Perspectives for motorcycle stability control systems*. *Accident; Analysis and Prevention, 44*, 74-81. 10.1016/j.aap.2010.11.018.
22. Goodall, Noah J. (2014). Ethical decision making during automated vehicle crashes. *Transportation Research Record, 2424*(1), 58-65.
23. R. F. Stengel. (2022). *Flight dynamics*. (2nd ed). Princeton University Press.
24. Bojarski, Mariusz, et al. (2016). *End to end learning for self-driving cars*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.07316.
25. Alonso, Monica, Hortensia Amaris, Jean Gardy Germain, & Juan Manuel Galan. (2014). Optimal charging scheduling of electric vehicles in smart grids by heuristic algorithms. *Energies, 7*(4), 2449-2475, doi:10.3390/en7042449.
26. Young, Kristie & Regan, Michael, & Hammer, Mike. (2003). Driver distraction: A review of the literature. *Distracted Driving*.

27. Zhao, Jianfeng, and Bodong Liang. “Self-driving car.” AccessScience, McGraw Hill, June 2023.
28. Mohamed, Naoui & Flah, Aymen & Lassaad, Sbita & Mouna, Ben & Azar, Ahmad. (2023). Intelligent Control System for Hybrid Electric Vehicle with Autonomous Charging. 10.1007/978-3-031-28715-2_13.
29. Shladover, Steven. (2016). The Truth about “Self-Driving” Cars. Scientific American. 314. 52-57. 10.1038/scientificamerican0616-52.
30. Kalra, Nidhi, and Susan M. Paddock. "Driving to safety: How many miles of driving would it take to demonstrate autonomous vehicle reliability?" RAND Corporation, 2016.
31. Hawkins, Andrew J. "Elon Musk says Tesla vehicles are ‘close’ to level 5 autonomy." The Verge, 2020.
32. Hirz, Mario & Lippitsch, Stefan. (2023). Automated charging of electric cars for improving user experience and charging infrastructure utilization. 10.54941/ahfe1003189.
33. Hull, Dana. "Tesla’s New 'Snakebot' Charger Looks Like it Came Straight from the Future." Bloomberg, 6 August 2015.
34. Triviño, Alicia & Quiros, Juan & Gonzalez, Jose & Aguado, Jose. (2023). Optimized Design of a Wireless Charger Prototype for an e-Scooter. IEEE Access. PP. 1-1. 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3243958.
35. Yu, Shengping & Wang, Yunfang & Zhang, Ruiyou & Wang, Junxiang. (2023). A Three-Step Heuristic Approach to the Electric Vehicle Path Planning Problem considering Charging. Journal of Advanced Transportation. 2023. 10.1155/2023/9930694.
36. Campbell, Andrew & Cherry, Christopher & Ryerson, Megan & Yang, Xinmiao. (2016). Factors influencing the choice of shared bicycles and shared electric bikes in Beijing. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies. 67. 399-414. 10.1016/j.trc.2016.03.004.
37. Shin, Jong-Gyu & Kim, Sangho. (2021). Intelligibility of Haptic Signals in Vehicle Information Systems. Sensors. 21. 4583. 10.3390/s21134583.
38. Sievert, Kelsey & Roen, Madeleine & Craig, Curtis & Morris, Nichole. (2023). A Survey of Electric-Scooter Riders’ Route Choice, Safety Perception, and Helmet Use. Sustainability. 15. 6609. 10.3390/su15086609.
39. Polydoropoulou A, Thanopoulou H,Karakikes I, Pronello C andTyrinopoulos Y (2023), Adapting to thefuture: examining the impact of transportautomation and digitalization on thelabor force through the perspectives ofstakeholders in all transport sectors.Front. Future Transp. 4:1173657.
40. Ramirez-Rubio, O., Daher, C., Fanjul, G. et al. Urban health: an example of a “health in all policies” approach in the context of SDGs implementation. Global Health 15, 87 (2019).
41. Merat, Natasha, et al. "Driver Behaviour and Performance with Different Levels of Automation: A Review of the Literature." Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, vol. 27, 2014, pp. 182-192.
42. Lee, J. D., & See, K. A. (2004). Trust in Automation: Designing for Appropriate Reliance. Human Factors, 46(1), 50-80.
43. Bartneck, Christoph, et al. "Is the uncanny valley an uncanny cliff?." Proceedings of the 16th IEEE international conference on robot and human interactive communication. IEEE, 2007.
44. Breazeal, Cynthia. "Emotion and sociable humanoid robots." International Journal of Human-Computer Studies 59.1-2 (2003): 119-155.
45. Al-Rasheed, Madawi. "A history of Saudi Arabia." Cambridge University Press, 2002.
46. Al-Saggaf, Y., and Williamson, K. "Online communities in Saudi Arabia: Evaluating the impact on culture through online semi-structured interviews." Forum: Qualitative Social Research, vol. 5, no. 3, 2004.
47. Doumato, Eleanor Abdella. "Women and Work in Saudi Arabia: How Flexible are Islamic Margins?" Middle East Journal, vol. 53, no. 4, 1999, pp. 568-583.
48. Hamdan, Aisha. "Women and education in Saudi Arabia: Challenges and achievements." International Education Journal, vol. 6, no. 1, 2005, pp. 42-64.
49. Nomura, Tatsuya, Tomohiro Suzuki, Takayuki Kanda, and Kensuke Kato. "Measurement of negative attitudes toward robots." Interaction Studies 7.3 (2006): 437-454.
50. Ramady, Mohamed A. The Saudi Arabian Economy: Policies, Achievements, and Challenges. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
51. Esposito, John L. "The Islamic World: Past and Present." Oxford University Press, 2004.
52. Le Renard, Amélie. "A society of young women: Opportunities of place, power, and reform in Saudi Arabia." Stanford University Press, 2014.
53. Grabar, Oleg. "The Formation of Islamic Art." Yale University Press, 1973.
54. Hashim, Rosnani. "Reclaiming the Conversation: Islamic Intellectual Tradition in the Malay Archipelago." The Other Press, 2010.
55. Davis, Fred D. "Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology." MIS Quarterly, 1989, pp. 319-340.

56. Lee, John D., and Katrina A. See. "Trust in automation: Designing for appropriate reliance." Human factors, vol. 46, no. 1, 2004, pp. 50-80.
57. Peck, Jennifer. "Economic Development in Saudi Arabia: The Focus on the Role of Women." Middle East Institute, 2018.
58. Al-Shehry, Abdulrahman M. "Information technology in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Past, present and future." Issues in Information Systems, 2006, pp. 130-136.
59. Alwehaibi, Noura. "Saudi Arabia's New Megacity: The Future of NEOM." Middle East Institute, 2018.
60. Alruwaili, Nawaf, et al. "Factors Affecting E-Scooter Sharing System Acceptance: A Study from Riyadh, Saudi Arabia." Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 9, 2021, p. 4891.
61. Hall, Peter. "Urban and Regional Planning." Routledge, 2011.
62. Al Awadhi, Tariq, and Nabil Sultan. "Technological advancements and the impact on transportation: Case study of the Dubai Smart City Project." Journal of Transport Geography, vol. 58, 2017, pp. 313-322..
63. Desouza, Kevin C., and Akshay Bhagwatwar. "Citizen apps to solve complex urban problems." Journal of Urban Technology, vol. 21, no. 3, 2014, pp. 115-128.
64. Davidson, Christopher M. "Saudi Arabia: A Kingdom in Peril?" Hurst Publishers, 2015.
65. Nourbakhsh, Illah Reza. "Robot futures." MIT Press, 2013.
66. Kattan, Lina, et al. "Opportunities and challenges of autonomous electric vehicles in the Middle East region." Sustainability, vol. 11, no. 8, 2019, p. 2215.
67. Ghaffarzadegan, Navid, and Richard C. Larson. "To fully realize the benefits of autonomous systems, we need better human-in-the-loop designs." Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Magazine, IEEE, vol. 4, no. 4, 2018, pp. 6-15.
68. Brynjolfsson, Erik, and Andrew McAfee. "Race against the machine: How the digital revolution is accelerating innovation, driving productivity, and irreversibly transforming employment and the economy." Digital Frontier Press, 2011.
69. Murphy, R.R. et al. (2008). Search and Rescue Robotics. In: Siciliano, B., Khatib, O. (eds) Springer Handbook of Robotics. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
70. Bar-Cohen, Yoseph, and Cynthia Breazeal. "Biologically-Inspired Intelligent Robots." SPIE Press, 2003.
71. Govinda R. Timilsina, Lado Kurdgelashvili, Patrick A. Narbel, Solar energy: Markets, economics and policies, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 16, Issue 1, 2012, Pages 449-465, ISSN 1364-0321.
72. L. Kneip, D. Scaramuzza and R. Siegwart, "A novel parametrization of the perspective-three-point problem for a direct computation of absolute camera position and orientation," CVPR 2011, Colorado Springs, CO, USA, 2011, pp. 2969-2976.
73. Tlili, I. Renewable energy in Saudi Arabia: current status and future potentials. Environ Dev Sustain 17, 859–886 (2015).
74. Siciliano, Bruno, and Oussama Khatib, eds. "Springer handbook of robotics." Springer, 2016.
75. Jeon M, Park CH, Kim Y, Riener A and Mara M (2021) Editorial: Contextualized Affective Interactions With Robots. Front. Psychol. 12:780685.
76. Sharkey, Amanda. "Robots and human dignity: a consideration of the effects of robot care on the dignity of older people." Ethics and Information Technology, vol. 16, no. 1, 2014, pp. 63-75.
77. Pransky, J. (2019), "The Pransky interview: Professor Robin R. Murphy, Co-founder of the Field of Disaster Robotics and Founder of Roboticists Without Borders", Industrial Robot, Vol. 45 No. 5, pp. 591-596.
78. Beasley, Ryan A. "Medical robots: Current systems and research directions." Journal of Robotics, 2012.
79. E. Ackerman, "How to Make a Good Robot Video [Media]," in IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 127-129, June 2023.
80. Wu, Jing, and Amit Kumar. "Real-time Processing in Autonomous E-Mobility: Challenges and Solutions." Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2021, pp. 150-164.
81. Weiss, Astrid, et al. "Exploring Human-Robot Interaction with the Elderly: Results from a Ten-Month Case Study in a Day Care Center." International Journal of Social Robotics, vol. 12, no. 3, 2020, pp. 793-810.
82. Arfeen, Z.A., Sheikh, U.U., Khalid, S.A., Saeed, M.S., Hafeez, F., Faisal, M. and Ahmed, H.B., 2020, June. Energy Management Scheme for Electric Vehicles with Rapid-charging Facility Utilizing Grid Power Storage Packs and Photovoltaic Generator. In 2020 IEEE International Conference on Automatic Control and Intelligent Systems (I2CACIS) (pp. 25-32). IEEE..
83. Asadi, K., Haritsa, V.R., Han, K. and Ore, J.P., 2021. Automated object manipulation using vision-based mobile robotic system for construction applications. Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, 35(1), p.04020058.
84. Lasota, Przemysław A., Terrence Fong, & Julie A. Shah. (2017). A survey of methods for safe human–robot interaction. *Foundations and Trends® in Robotics*, *5*(4), 261-349.

85. Yamcharoen P., Bayewu, A., Ojo, T.P., & Fatoye O.E. (2022). Evaluating State Cybersecurity Laws and Regulations in United States. *Advances in Multidisciplinary and Scientific Research, 8*(3), 47–56.
86. Calo, Ryan. "Robotics and the Lessons of Cyberlaw." California Law Review, vol. 103, 2015, pp. 513-563.
87. Jülch, Verena. "Comparison of electricity storage options using levelized cost of storage method." Applied Energy, vol. 183, 2016, pp. 1410-1426.
88. Dragan, Anca D., and Siddhartha S. Srinivasa. "A survey of manipulability, graspability, and dexterity." International Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 37, no. 1-2, 2018, pp. 119-141.
89. Siegel, M., 1997. Remote and automated inspection: status and prospects. And futuristic aircrafts, p.47.
90. Beverland, M.B., Ewing, M.T. and Matanda, M.J., 2006. Driving-market or market-driven? A case study analysis of the new product development practices of Chinese business-to-business firms. Industrial Marketing Management, 35(3), pp.383-393.
91. Leng, Y., Shi, X., Hiroatsu, F., Kalachev, A., & Wan, D. (2023). Automated construction for human–robot interaction in wooden buildings: Integrated robotic construction and digital design of iSMART wooden arches. *Journal of Field Robotics, 40*(4), 810-827.
92. Coleman, R., Keates, S. and Lebbon, C., 2003. Inclusive design: Design for the whole population.
93. Jia, H.U., Shu-yuan, L.U.O., Jin-tao, L.A.I., Tian, X.U. and Xiao-guang, Y.A.N.G., 2021. A review of the impact of autonomous driving on transportation planning. Journal of Transportation Systems engineering and information Technology, 21(5), p.52.
94. Mokyr, Joel, Chris Vickers, and Nicolas L. Ziebarth. "The history of technological anxiety and the future of economic growth: Is this time different?" The Journal of Economic Perspectives, vol. 29, no. 3, 2015, pp. 31-50.
95. Andrea Caragliu, Chiara F. Del Bo & Peter Nijkamp (2023) "Smart Cities in Europe" Revisited: A Meta-Analysis of Smart City Economic Impacts, Journal of Urban Technology, 30:4, 51-69.
96. Adib, A., Afridi, K.K., Amirabadi, M., Fateh, F., Ferdowsi, M., Lehman, B., Lewis, L.H., Mirafzal, B., Saeedifard, M., Shadmand, M.B. and Shamsi, P., 2019. E-mobility—Advancements and challenges. IEEE Access, 7, pp.165226-165240.
97. Rosenthal-von der Pütten, Astrid M., et al. "An experimental study on emotional reactions towards a robot." International Journal of Social Robotics, vol. 5, no. 1, 2013, pp. 17-34.
98. Guerra, E. (2016). Planning for Cars That Drive Themselves: Metropolitan Planning Organizations, Regional Transportation Plans, and Autonomous Vehicles. Journal of Planning Education and Research, 36(2), 210-224.
99. Marafa, N.A., Sampaio, R.C., Llanos, C.H. (2022). Biomechatronic Analysis of Lower Limb Exoskeletons for Augmentation and Rehabilitation Applications. In: Bastos-Filho, T.F., de Oliveira Caldeira, E.M., Frizera-Neto, A. (eds) XXVII Brazilian Congress on Biomedical Engineering. CBEB 2020. IFMBE Proceedings, vol 83. Springer, Cham.
100. Angelidou, Margarita. "Smart cities: A conjuncture of four forces." Cities, vol. 47, 2015, pp. 95-106.
101. Sharkey, Amanda, and Noel Sharkey. "Granny and the robots: ethical issues in robot care for the elderly." Ethics and Information Technology, vol. 14, no. 1, 2012, pp. 27-40.
102. Chen, L., Li, Y., Huang, C., Li, B., Xing, Y., Tian, D., Li, L., Hu, Z., Na, X., Li, Z. and Teng, S., 2022. Milestones in autonomous driving and intelligent vehicles: Survey of surveys. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 8(2), pp.1046-1056.
103. Goodall, N.J., Park, B. and Smith, B.L., 2014. Microscopic estimation of arterial vehicle positions in a low-penetration-rate connected vehicle environment. Journal of Transportation Engineering, 140(10), p.04014047.
104. Fishman, Elliot. "Bikeshare: A review of recent literature." Transport Reviews, vol. 36, no. 1, 2016, pp. 92-113.
105. Tang, Tao, and Hamid Reza Pourreza. "A hierarchical traffic management system for smart cities." Procedia Computer Science, vol. 130, 2018, pp. 1043-1048.
106. Marchant, Gary E., and Wendell Wallach. "Coordinating and Sequencing of Emerging Technologies." Jurimetrics, vol. 58, no. 1, 2017, pp. 91-110.
107. Winkle, T., et al. "Road Vehicle Automation Transition: Research Considerations and Policy Implications." Transport Reviews, vol. 40, no. 4, 2020, pp. 509-534.
108. Sukhbaatar, Javkhlanbayar, Young Jae Ryoo, and Mignon Park. "Human–robot collaboration in a pick-and-place task: Performance and workload." Safety science, vol. 113, 2019, pp. 279-285.
109. Shaheen, Susan A., and Adam Cohen. "Shared Automated Vehicles: Current Status and Future Directions." Transport Reviews, vol. 39, no. 3, 2019, pp. 427-442.
110. Sovacool, Benjamin K., et al. "The Future Promise of Vehicle-to-Grid (V2G) Integration: A Sociotechnical Review and Research Agenda." Annual Review of Environment and Resources, vol. 41, 2016, pp. 377-406.
111. Furber, Steve. "Neuromorphic Computing: From Materials to Systems Architecture." Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 103, no. 8, 2015, pp. 1410-1437.

112. Khaleghi, Ba-Ngu Vo, Fakhreddine O. Karray, & Saiedeh N. Razavi. (2013). Multisensor data fusion: A review of the state-of-the-art. *Information Fusion, 14*(1), 28-44.
113. Farhi, E., Goldstone, J., Gutmann, S., & Neven, H. (2017). *Quantum algorithms for fixed qubit architectures*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.06199.
114. Yapanel, U.H., & Hansen, J.H. (2008). A new perceptually motivated MVDR-based acoustic front-end (PMVDR) for robust automatic speech recognition. *Speech Communication, 50*(2), 142-152.
115. Si, W., Zhong, T., Wang, N., & Yang, C. (2023). March. A multimodal teleoperation interface for human-robot collaboration. *IEEE*.
116. Sudin, M.N., Abdullah, M.A., Shamsuddin, S.A., Ramli, F.R. & Tahir, M.M. (2014). Review of research on vehicles aerodynamic drag reduction methods. *International Journal of Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering, 14*(02), 37-47.
117. Hvidt, Martin. (2013). Economic diversification in GCC countries: Past record and future trends. *London School of Economics, Kuwait Programme on Development, Governance and Globalisation in the Gulf States*.
118. Griffiths, S. (2017). A review and assessment of energy policy in the Middle East and North Africa region. *Energy Policy, 102*, 249-269.
119. Warschauer, Mark. (2004). *Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide*. MIT Press.
120. Luck, K.S., Campbell, J., Jansen, M.A., Aukes, D.M., & Amor, H.B. (2017). *From the lab to the desert: Fast prototyping and learning of robot locomotion*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.01977.
121. Milakis, D., Van Arem, B., & Van Wee, B. (2017). Policy and society related implications of automated driving: A review of literature and directions for future research. *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems, 21*(4), 324-348.
122. Letaifa, & Soumaya Ben. (2015). How to strategize smart cities: Revealing the SMART model. *Journal of Business Research, 68*(7), 1414-1419.
123. Tian, Y., Li, D., Tian, J., & Xia, B. (2016). A comparative study of state-of-charge estimation algorithms for lithium-ion batteries in wireless charging electric vehicles. in *IEEE PELS Workshop on Emerging Technologies: Wireless Power Transfer (WoW)*, pp. 186-190.
124. Asef, P., Milan, M., Lapthorn, A., & Padmanaban, S. (2021). Future trends and aging analysis of battery energy storage systems for electric vehicles. *Sustainability, 13*(24), p.13779.
125. Iqbal, J., Al-Zahrani, A., Alharbi, S.A., & Hashmi, A. (2019). Robotics inspired renewable energy developments: prospective opportunities and challenges. *IEEE Access, 7*, 174898-174923.
126. Urbinati, A., Landoni, P., Cococcioni, F., & De Giudici, L. (2020). Stakeholder management in open innovation projects: a multiple case study analysis. *European Journal of Innovation Management, 24*(5), 1595-1624.
127. Alsoliman, B.S.H. (2018). The utilization of educational robotics in Saudi schools: Potentials and barriers from the perspective of Saudi teachers. *International Education Studies, 11*(10), pp.105-111.
128. Wang, N., Tang, L., & Pan, H. (2018). A global comparison and assessment of incentive policy on electric vehicle promotion. *Sustainable Cities and Society, 44*, 597-603.
129. Breschi, S., & Lissoni, F. (2004). *Knowledge networks from patent data: methodological issues and research targets*. in: Handbook of quantitative science and technology research: The use of publication and patent statistics in studies of S&T systems (pp. 613-643). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
130. Grimes, S., & Collins, P. (2003). Building a knowledge economy in Ireland through European research networks. *European Planning Studies, 11*(4), 395-413.
131. Payne, James E. (2010). A survey of the electricity consumption-growth literature. *Applied Energy, 87*(3), 723-731.
132. Abul, S.J., Satrovic, E., & Muslija, A. (2019). The link between energy consumption and economic growth in Gulf Cooperation Council countries. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 9*(5), 38-45.
133. Al Kandari, S.F. (2020). Restructuring privatisation programmes in the gulf cooperation council: Lessons from the Kuwait stock exchange. *Arab Law Quarterly, 36*(3), 255-290.